1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
7	AT TAC	OWN 1	
8	KPI BRIDGE OIL SINGAPORE PTE		
9	LTD.,	CASE NO. C15-5282 BHS	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
11	v.		
12	M/V CITY OF TOKYO,		
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff KPI Bridge Oil Singapore PTE		
	Ltd.'s ("Plaintiff") motion for arrest of vessel and attachment of property (Dkt. 2).		
16	On April 30, 2015, Plaintiff filed the instant motion requesting attachment in an		
17	amount up to \$241,005.03, which included \$75,000 for expected costs and fees "in legal		
18	proceedings to be commenced before the High Court of Singapore." Dkt. 1, ¶ 39. The		
19	Court excluded these "expected" fees from the proposed order of forfeiture. Plaintiff		
20	may show cause, if any there may be, why these hypothetical fees should be included in		
21	the prejudgment attachment of the vessel in qu	estion. Any response shall be filed no later	
22			

1	than May 8, 2015. Upon a sufficient showing of cause, the Court may revise the amount		
2	of attachment.		
3	IT SI SO ORDERED.		
4	Dated this 1st day of May, 2015.		
5	k. 10		
6	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE		
7	United States District Judge		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			